UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,798	04/25/2005	Takehiko Kishikawa	2005_0600A	5653
	7590 04/02/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE	· ·	COURSON, TANIA C		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/532,798	KISHIKAWA, TAKEHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TANIA C. COURSON	2841		

	TANIA C. COURSON	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the specified is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the specified in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· —	l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Christopher W. Fulton/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2841	

Continuation Sheet:

The applicant's arguments filed on 12 March 2008 are not persuasive. The previously cited prior art is still applicable.

The applicant's argument states that the "Schnell instrument is not capable of measuring an inclination with respect to a vertical direction", lines 18-19 and lines 86-88 of Schnell can be broadly interpreted to allow for capability of measuring inclination with respect to a vertical direction, so as a result the Schnell structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim language.

The applicant's argument also states that the "There is no reason to provide a bubble gauge on the telescoping arm of Schnell". The Thomas reference teaches horizontal as well as vertical measurement, so including a bubble gauge of Thomas to the gauge of Schnell, which is capable of measuring vertical direction, allows for additional inclination measurement accuracy. So as a result the combination of the Schnell and Thomas structure meets the claim language.